

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,992	07/30/2003	Sheng Ma	YOR920030160US1 7734		
7590 12/11/2006			EXAMINER		
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP			CHANNAVAJJALA, SRIRAMA T		
90 Forest Avenue Locust Valley, NY 11560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/630,992	MA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Srirama Channavajjala	2166		

	Srirama Channavajjala	2166					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in content of the reply much that the r	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	'05.07(f).	36(a) and the appropria	ote extension fee				
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig to than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	aliamaa with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two mont	he of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further of	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	assessmending number of finally re	incted claims					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jecteu ciaims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		(DTOL 224)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. $igsqcup$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):		4 12 41				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	□ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good awas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		٠,				
13. Other:							
:		k	•				
•	·	Srirama Channav Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2166					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: issues including "wherein each multi-attribute mining template compreises at least one item described by at least two attributes; outputting the discovered attribute associations to at least one of the user and another system in claims 1,8,15.